

WIA Australian Band Plan Review Feedback 2025 Consultation TAC-2025/01

2.0 Band Plan Data Presentation

Feedback Request #1 – Presentation Format

We would welcome feedback on the new format and its readability and usability. If you have any suggestions for improvement, we would like to hear from you!
Response:
4.0 MF Band Plan Proposals
Feedback Request #2 – 630m Band Restructure
Feedback is welcome on whether the proposed changes to the 630m band plan outlined in section 9.2 below are supported or whether to leave the plan as it currently is.
Response:
Feedback Request #3 – 160 m Band AM Activity
Feedback is sought as to whether the included 160m AM Centres of Activity section correctly captured the activity as it is today.
Response:

5.0 HF Band Plan Proposals

Feedback Request #4 – 80m Band AM Activity

Feedback is sought as to whether the included 80m AM Centres of Activity section correctly captured the activity as it is today.
Response:
Feedback Request #5 – 80m WICEN
Feedback is sought, particularly from WICEN and other similar communities, on whether a move to 3610 kHz for Emergency Communications on 80m in Australia would be supported.
Response:

Feedback Request #6 – 40m AM Activity

Feedback is sought as to whether the included 40m AM Centres of Activity on 7125 kHz correctly captures the existing activity as it is today.
Response:
Feedback Request #7 – 40m WICEN
Feedback is sought, particularly from the WICEN and similar communities, on whether a move to 7100 kHz for the Emergency Communications Call channel on 40m in Australia would be supported.
Response:
Feedback Request #8 – 40m Data Sub-Band Definition for WSJT based modes
Feedback is sought, on whether finally recording that the segment 7074 – 7080 kHz on 40m is a global DATA sub-band is the right thing to do.
Response:

Feedback Request #9 – 30m SSB Voice

Feedback is sought on the revised SSB VOICE operating window on the 30m band being specified to cover 10120 – 10131 kHz (with 10128 kHz the highest USB dial frequency used), given the growing amount of international DATA mode activity above 10130 kHz. This means SSB above 10130 would be discouraged.
Response:
Feedback Request #10 – 20m WICEN
Feedback is sought, particularly from the WICEN and similar communities, on whether a move to 14300 kHz for Emergency Communications on 20m in Australia would be supported
in alignment with the IARU Region 3 band plan.
Response:
Feedback Request #11 – 12m WICEN
Feedback is sought, particularly from the WICEN and similar communities, on whether 24950
kHz remains a suitable VOICE Emergency Communications channel in Australia or whether it
should either a) move to a different frequency (24985 kHz is proposed) or b) should just be instead dropped as a centre of activity given it is not defined in the IARU Region 3 band plan.
Response:

6.0 VHF Band Plan Proposals

Feedback Request #12 - 6m Data Sub-bands

Feedback is sought on whether this 6m DATA mode segment revision (50.180 – 50.330 MHz) is supported by the Amateur Radio community in Australia.
Response:
Feedback Request #13 – 6m Experimental Sub-Band
Feedback is sought on the merits of converting this band segment to an EXPERIMENTAL – ALL MODES segment focused on future wideband (up to 500 kHz BW) experimental modes or whether to continue with the original plan of expanding the 6m FM repeater channels into this segment.
Response:
Feedback Request #14 – Withdrawl of legacy domestic 6m beacon segments
Feedback is sought on the merits of withdrawing the 50.280-50.320MHz band segment for 6m beacons and how much incentive should be provided for legacy beacons to complete the move to frequencies above 50.4 MHz.
Response:

Feedback Request #15 – 2m Repeater -1.6MHz Offsets

respect colution to averageing as site intermedial clation interference from a propertial VIII
resort solution to overcoming co-site intermodulation interference from commercial VHF services.
Response:
7.0 UHF / SHF Band Plan Proposals
Feedback Request #16 – 70cm repeater channel input offset arrangements
Community feedback is sought on the proposal to completely discontinue access to old -5.4 MHz and -5.0 MHz repeater channel offset frequency pairs (excluding the 439.800 - 439.987 MHz segment which can continue to choose either -5.0 or – 7.0 MHz offsets). Existing repeaters remaining on the other splits are "encouraged to move frequency" but it will not be mandatory.
Community feedback is sought on the proposal to completely discontinue access to old -5.4 MHz and -5.0 MHz repeater channel offset frequency pairs (excluding the 439.800 - 439.987 MHz segment which can continue to choose either -5.0 or – 7.0 MHz offsets). Existing repeaters remaining on the other splits are "encouraged to move frequency" but it will not be
Community feedback is sought on the proposal to completely discontinue access to old -5.4 MHz and -5.0 MHz repeater channel offset frequency pairs (excluding the 439.800 - 439.987 MHz segment which can continue to choose either -5.0 or – 7.0 MHz offsets). Existing repeaters remaining on the other splits are "encouraged to move frequency" but it will not be mandatory.
Community feedback is sought on the proposal to completely discontinue access to old -5.4 MHz and -5.0 MHz repeater channel offset frequency pairs (excluding the 439.800 - 439.987 MHz segment which can continue to choose either -5.0 or – 7.0 MHz offsets). Existing repeaters remaining on the other splits are "encouraged to move frequency" but it will not be mandatory.
Community feedback is sought on the proposal to completely discontinue access to old -5.4 MHz and -5.0 MHz repeater channel offset frequency pairs (excluding the 439.800 - 439.987 MHz segment which can continue to choose either -5.0 or – 7.0 MHz offsets). Existing repeaters remaining on the other splits are "encouraged to move frequency" but it will not be mandatory.
Community feedback is sought on the proposal to completely discontinue access to old -5.4 MHz and -5.0 MHz repeater channel offset frequency pairs (excluding the 439.800 - 439.987 MHz segment which can continue to choose either -5.0 or – 7.0 MHz offsets). Existing repeaters remaining on the other splits are "encouraged to move frequency" but it will not be mandatory.
Community feedback is sought on the proposal to completely discontinue access to old -5.4 MHz and -5.0 MHz repeater channel offset frequency pairs (excluding the 439.800 - 439.987 MHz segment which can continue to choose either -5.0 or – 7.0 MHz offsets). Existing repeaters remaining on the other splits are "encouraged to move frequency" but it will not be mandatory.
Community feedback is sought on the proposal to completely discontinue access to old -5.4 MHz and -5.0 MHz repeater channel offset frequency pairs (excluding the 439.800 - 439.987 MHz segment which can continue to choose either -5.0 or – 7.0 MHz offsets). Existing repeaters remaining on the other splits are "encouraged to move frequency" but it will not be mandatory.

Feedback Request #17 – 70cm repeater channel band expansion

Comm	unity feedback is sought on whether to:
a)	support a proposal to reintroduce repeaters into the 439.275-439.600 MHz sub-band noting the justifications and impacts presented in the discussion paper; or,
b)	leave this band segment clear for simplex and general use activities.
Respons	se:
	ck Request #18 – 70cm Expansion of Digital Hotspot channel segments
Feedba	ck is sought on whether: a) People agree that more hotspot space is required.
	b) Is 500 kHz sufficient – or should there be more or less?
	c) Is the placement of the activity in the 441 - 442 MHz band segment acceptable?
Respon	6e:

Feedback Request #19 – 70cm should specific repeater channels be linked to specific activities only?

ack is sought on whether it is:
appropriate to designate particular repeater pairs as suitable only for specific modes / technologies or;
is it better to allow the bandwidth to be mode agnostic and support all operating modes on any repeater channel pair (except for the special portable repeater category systems operating under AUSTRALIA WIDE licence types).
se:
ck Request #20 – 23cm Band Plan (1240-1300 MHz) – Full Revision
l new draft 23cm band plan is included in section 9.15. Feedback is most welcome on posed new band arrangements.
se:

Feedback Request #21 – 13cm band – Alternate Narrow Band Segment

Do you support the addition of a <u>secondary</u> narrow band segment between 2400.0-2400.4 MHz as an alternative where local Wi-Fi interference renders the primary sub-band unusable?
Response:
Feedback Request #22 – 13cm Introduction of Voice Repeaters
Do you support the addition of a duplex 20MHz offset FM voice repeater segment on the band operating on 2405 - 2406 MHz Repeater RX, 2425 - 2426 MHz Repeater TX?
Response:
Feedback Request #23 – 13cm ATV Sub-band channel plans
Do you support the revised DVB ATV Channel allocations on 13cm?
Digital ATV Channels
• 2411.000 – DVB ATV Channel 1 (Centre)
• 2419.000 – DVB ATV Channel 2 (Centre)
 2435.000 – DVB ATV Channel 3 (Centre) 2443.000 – DVB ATV Channel 4 (Centre)
Analogue ATV Channels
• 2415.000 – FM ATV Channel 1
• 2439.000 – FM ATV Channel 2
Response:

Feedback Request #24 – 9cm Band – secondary narrowband segment

Do you support the addition of a <u>secondary</u> narrow band segment at 3385-3387 MHz provide an option for those suffering from adjacent band interference from the NBN of MHz?	
Response:	
Feedback Request #25 – 9cm Band Introduction of Voice Repeaters	
Do you support the addition of a duplex 40MHz offset FM voice repeater segment on toperating on 3383 - 3384 MHz Repeater RX, 3343 - 3344 MHz Repeater TX?	the band
Response:	
Feedback Request #26 – 9cm Band ATV Channel Plans	
Do you support the revised DVB ATV Channel allocations on 9cm?	
• 3326.000 – DVB ATV Channel 1 (Centre)	
 3377.000 – DVB ATV Channel 2 (Centre) 3393.000 – DVB ATV Channel 3 (Centre) – preferred for ATV repeater outputs 	
Response:	

Feedback Request #27 – 6cm Band – Introduction of Voice Repeaters

=	dition of a duplex 40MHz offset FM voice repeater segment on the band 75 MHz Repeater RX, 5730 - 5735 MHz Repeater TX?
Response:	
eedback Request #28	3 – 6cm Band – rearrangement of Wideband Data Channels
	noval of the unused 20 MHz wide voice channels and combining them channels aligned with the Wi-Fi channel raster?
Response:	
eedhack Request #29) – 6cm Band - ATV Channel Plans
	John Band 7117 Chamber Range
Do you support the ne	w clarified DVB ATV Channel plan proposed for the 6cm band?
Digital ATV Channels	
• 5675.0	- D-ATV Channel 1
• 5685.0	- D-ATV Channel 2
• 5740.0	- D-ATV Channel 3
• 5750.0	- D-ATV Channel 5
• 5820.0	- D-ATV Channel 5
Analogue ATV Channe	
• 5680.0	- FM ATV Channel 1
• 5745.0	- FM ATV Channel 2
Response:	

Feedback Request #30 3cm Band – Introduction of Voice Repeaters

Response:	
lesponse.	
Feedback Request #31 – 3c	rm Band – Wideband Data Channel Plans
	l of the unused 20 MHz wide voice channels and combining them
	nnels (noting that other simplex voice spectrum has been
proposed that can support	up to 5 MHz wide voice transmissions if required)?
Response:	
To adh and Barres 4400 . On	and ATMOhamad Blanc
Feedback Request #32 – 30	em Band – ATV Channel Plans
·	
Do you support the new cla	erm Band – ATV Channel Plans arified FM/DVB ATV Channel plan proposed for the 3cm band?
Do you support the new cla	rified FM/DVB ATV Channel plan proposed for the 3cm band?
Do you support the new cla Digital ATV Channels • 10195.0	rified FM/DVB ATV Channel plan proposed for the 3cm band? - D-ATV Channel 1
Do you support the new classification Digital ATV Channels 10195.0 10205.0	rified FM/DVB ATV Channel plan proposed for the 3cm band? - D-ATV Channel 1 - D-ATV Channel 2
Do you support the new class Digital ATV Channels 10195.0 10205.0 10255.0	rified FM/DVB ATV Channel plan proposed for the 3cm band? - D-ATV Channel 1 - D-ATV Channel 2 - D-ATV Channel 3
Do you support the new class Digital ATV Channels 10195.0 10205.0 10255.0 10265.0	rified FM/DVB ATV Channel plan proposed for the 3cm band? - D-ATV Channel 1 - D-ATV Channel 2 - D-ATV Channel 3 - D-ATV Channel 4
Do you support the new class Digital ATV Channels 10195.0 10205.0 10265.0 10315.0	rified FM/DVB ATV Channel plan proposed for the 3cm band? - D-ATV Channel 1 - D-ATV Channel 2 - D-ATV Channel 3 - D-ATV Channel 4 - D-ATV Channel 5
Do you support the new class Digital ATV Channels 10195.0 10205.0 10255.0 10265.0 10315.0 10325.0	rified FM/DVB ATV Channel plan proposed for the 3cm band? - D-ATV Channel 1 - D-ATV Channel 2 - D-ATV Channel 3 - D-ATV Channel 4 - D-ATV Channel 5 - D-ATV Channel 6
Do you support the new class 10195.0 10205.0 10265.0 10315.0 10325.0 10425.0	- D-ATV Channel plan proposed for the 3cm band? - D-ATV Channel 1 - D-ATV Channel 2 - D-ATV Channel 3 - D-ATV Channel 4 - D-ATV Channel 5 - D-ATV Channel 6 - D-ATV Channel 7
Do you support the new class 10195.0 10205.0 10255.0 10265.0 10315.0 10325.0 10425.0 10435.0	rified FM/DVB ATV Channel plan proposed for the 3cm band? - D-ATV Channel 1 - D-ATV Channel 2 - D-ATV Channel 3 - D-ATV Channel 4 - D-ATV Channel 5 - D-ATV Channel 6
Do you support the new class 10195.0 10205.0 10255.0 10265.0 10315.0 10325.0 10425.0 10435.0 Analogue ATV Channels	- D-ATV Channel plan proposed for the 3cm band? - D-ATV Channel 1 - D-ATV Channel 2 - D-ATV Channel 3 - D-ATV Channel 4 - D-ATV Channel 5 - D-ATV Channel 6 - D-ATV Channel 7 - D-ATV Channel 8
Do you support the new class 10195.0 10205.0 10255.0 10265.0 10315.0 10325.0 10425.0 10425.0 10435.0 Analogue ATV Channels 10200.0	- D-ATV Channel plan proposed for the 3cm band? - D-ATV Channel 1 - D-ATV Channel 2 - D-ATV Channel 3 - D-ATV Channel 4 - D-ATV Channel 5 - D-ATV Channel 6 - D-ATV Channel 7 - D-ATV Channel 8
Do you support the new class 10195.0 10205.0 10265.0 10315.0 10325.0 10425.0 10435.0 Analogue ATV Channels 10200.0 10260.0	- D-ATV Channel plan proposed for the 3cm band? - D-ATV Channel 1 - D-ATV Channel 2 - D-ATV Channel 3 - D-ATV Channel 4 - D-ATV Channel 5 - D-ATV Channel 6 - D-ATV Channel 7 - D-ATV Channel 8 - FM ATV Channel 1 - FM ATV Channel 2
Do you support the new class 10195.0 10205.0 10265.0 10315.0 10425.0 10435.0 Analogue ATV Channels 10200.0 10320.0	arified FM/DVB ATV Channel plan proposed for the 3cm band? - D-ATV Channel 1 - D-ATV Channel 2 - D-ATV Channel 3 - D-ATV Channel 4 - D-ATV Channel 5 - D-ATV Channel 6 - D-ATV Channel 7 - D-ATV Channel 8 - FM ATV Channel 1 - FM ATV Channel 2 - FM ATV Channel 3
Do you support the new class 10195.0 10205.0 10265.0 10315.0 10325.0 10425.0 10435.0 Analogue ATV Channels 10200.0 10260.0	- D-ATV Channel plan proposed for the 3cm band? - D-ATV Channel 1 - D-ATV Channel 2 - D-ATV Channel 3 - D-ATV Channel 4 - D-ATV Channel 5 - D-ATV Channel 6 - D-ATV Channel 7 - D-ATV Channel 8 - FM ATV Channel 1 - FM ATV Channel 2
Do you support the new class 10195.0 10205.0 10255.0 10265.0 10315.0 10325.0 10425.0 10435.0 Analogue ATV Channels 10200.0 10260.0 10320.0 10430.0	arified FM/DVB ATV Channel plan proposed for the 3cm band? - D-ATV Channel 1 - D-ATV Channel 2 - D-ATV Channel 3 - D-ATV Channel 4 - D-ATV Channel 5 - D-ATV Channel 6 - D-ATV Channel 7 - D-ATV Channel 8 - FM ATV Channel 1 - FM ATV Channel 2 - FM ATV Channel 3
Do you support the new class 10195.0 10205.0 10265.0 10315.0 10325.0 10425.0 10435.0 Analogue ATV Channels 10200.0 10320.0	arified FM/DVB ATV Channel plan proposed for the 3cm band? - D-ATV Channel 1 - D-ATV Channel 2 - D-ATV Channel 3 - D-ATV Channel 4 - D-ATV Channel 5 - D-ATV Channel 6 - D-ATV Channel 7 - D-ATV Channel 8 - FM ATV Channel 1 - FM ATV Channel 2 - FM ATV Channel 3

Any other Feedback?

f you have any other general feedback you would like to provide the WIA TAC on the consultation, or any new topics you would like considered by the committee in the coming months please provide details here					